Addendum Number: One (1)
Proposal Number: P-02-17
Title of RFP: Contamination Assessment & Remediation Services for State of Florida Funded Petroleum Impacted Sites
Due Date and Time: Wednesday, March 29, 2017, ET

This addendum is issued for the information of proposers on the above titled project. This addendum will take precedence over the original RFP should there be any discrepancies noted.

See attached questions received and the response to those questions for the above referenced Request for Proposal (RFP)

Date: March 7, 2017
Alex Baker
Professional Services Specialist

PLEASE SIGN AND RETURN ADDENDUM WITH ENTIRE PROPOSAL PACKAGE IN A SEALED ENVELOPE.

________________________________________
Proposers’ Signature

________________________________________
Title

________________________________________
Company Name

________________________________________
Date
Questions & Responses:

1. Does the Prime need to be a Florida Petroleum Restoration Program Consultant? OR can a Sub-consultant on the team fulfill the minimum requirement?
   Response: Section 1.4 Minimum Requirements for Consultants requires the Consultant to satisfy four mandatory qualifications, one of which is item C, approved Florida Petroleum Restoration Program Consultant. More specifically, since all sites are in Duval County, this means the Consultant is an Agency Term Contractor with FDEP for the North Region. Section 3.2 specifies that the Consultant is solely responsible for all labor, supplies, materials, means, methods, techniques, sequences and procedures utilized to perform the Services in accordance with the Contract while Section 3.7 stipulates in all cases, Consultant will be responsible for the acts or omissions of its sub-Consultants. Consultant will ensure that all relevant contractual obligations will flow down to the sub-Consultants and will be incorporated into the subcontracts (including the obligations relating to insurance, indemnification, delays, intellectual property rights, public records, non-discrimination, audits, security, location of services, termination, transition assistance, warranties, and the manner in which the Services are to be performed). There is no section within the RFP for joint ventures, therefore, the Prime Consultant must satisfy the four minimum requirements (C).

2. Is it the City’s intention to select just one firm or multiple awards?
   Response: One firm.

3. Regarding Section 1.4 Minimum Requirements for Consultants Subsection B. of RFP P-02-17 states, “Certification by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection for all laboratory samples (NELAC/NELAP) for providing various analytical testing services…” Can conformance with this requirement regarding analytical testing services be demonstrated through the designation of a NELAC/NELAP certified subcontract laboratory, as is typical for the environmental consulting industry?
   Response: Yes, it is understood the laboratory is generally not the prime “Consultant” for this type of RFP and the requirement is met by a sub-contract role.

4. According to Attachment H, the city of Jacksonville lists 31 petroleum sites. Of the 31 sites, 22 are in the FDEP cleanup program with 9 sites scored 30 and above and 13 sites scored 29 and below. An additional 9 sites are non-program sites. Will all
of the 22 sites be bundled under PRP rates? Will the 13 program sites scored 29 and below be bundled under LSSI rates? Will the 9 non-program sites be addressed using PRP rates?

Response: The primary objective of the RFP is to select a Contractor for the PCPP sites. All other sites that are listed may be added at the discretion of the City according to available funding. During contract negotiations, the City can elect to solicit pricing for the other non PCPP facilities.

5. How does the city anticipate transitioning the sites shown in the attached list to the winning consultant? Does the city anticipate transitioning all or a portion of the sites to the winning consultant?

Response: Any site listed in the RFP with current on-going work will be allowed to complete the task item using the vendor under contract for that work. After completion and approval by FDEP that all work for that task item is completed, the City will enter into scope of work negotiations with the selected vendor for the remaining work task item(s) based on available funding until the project is complete and a final order is issued by the FDEP. For sites that do not have current on-going work, the City will enter into scope of work negotiations with the selected vendor upon execution of the contract between the vendor and the City.

6. What is the estimated total dollar amount of work to performed under this contract?

Response: The total contract value is totally dependent upon the requirements for each facility to obtain a final order issued by FDEP.

7. Will the anticipated scope of services be exclusive to the sites shown in the attached table? Will non-program sites be considered?

Response: The City reserves the right to add additional facilities based on the City’s need and funding.

8. Will any rate increases granted by FDEP be carried over into this contract?

Response: Since the City will be using the PCPP approved rates for the selected vendor, the City will use the rates that are approved by FDEP for that time the City enters into a scope of work with the vendor. However, the change in rates will require an amendment to the contract.

9. In Appendix C EBO Form 1, how should the Total Contract Value for MBE subs be determined?

Response: It is up to the vendor to estimate what the percentage work of any subcontractor or JSEB the vendor intends to use.

All work for this contract will be dependent upon available City funding.